

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-21
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-21
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-02-21
Date of Last Revision: 2012-02-21

Agency: 023 - General Services Administration **Bureau:** 30 - General Activities

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: National Electronic Accounting and Reporting (NEAR) System

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 023-000004050

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The National Electronic Accounting and Reporting (NEAR) system is systematically being replaced to align with the GSA FMLOB solution, Pegasys. NEAR was GSA's accounting system from 1974 to 2002, when it was replaced by CGI-AMS Momentum Financials (referred to as Pegasys) as GSA's official financial system of record. NEAR was developed in-house and supported many complex functions unique to GSA but did not have some key functionality required by JFMIP, including requirements to provide on-line fund status notification and to support the Standard General Ledger (SGL). While most of NEAR's functionality has been replaced by Pegasys, the remaining portion of NEAR supports billing, accounts receivable, r-pads, faim and global supply. The remaining functional areas are being planned for replacement (please refer to the Pegasys business case).

- 2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

The planned replacement and retirement of the NEAR system supports the FMLOB initiative goals and the strategic goals from the President's Management Agenda in the areas of improving financial management and aligning to the FMLOB, and integrating budget and

performance. NEAR's replacement and retirement plans are included in the GSA e-Gov alignment report.

3. **Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.**

Security Contracts (C&A, IV&V) O&M/Technical Engineering Support Application Hosting.

4. **Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).**

Security Contracts (C&A, IV&V) O&M/Technical Engineering Support Application Hosting.

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2011-04-26

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

| | PY-1 & Prior | PY 2011 | CY 2012 | BY 2013 |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Planning Costs: | \$0.7 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 |
| DME (Excluding Planning) Costs: | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 |
| DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs: | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 |
| Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE): | \$0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O & M Costs: | \$47.5 | \$7.6 | \$8.0 | \$8.4 |
| O & M Govt. FTEs: | \$21.5 | \$4.6 | \$4.8 | \$5.0 |
| Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE): | \$69.0 | \$12.2 | \$12.8 | \$13.4 |
| Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE): | \$69.7 | \$12.2 | \$12.8 | \$13.4 |
| Total Govt. FTE costs: | \$21.5 | \$4.6 | \$4.8 | \$5.0 |
| # of FTE rep by costs: | 186 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| | | | | |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$) | | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%) | | 0.00% | 0.00% | |

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

The increase in spending has changed due to the scheduled decommission date of the NEAR System has changed from FY2012 to FY2013.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

| Contract Type | EVM Required | Contracting Agency ID | Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) | Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID | IDV Agency ID | Solicitation ID | Ultimate Contract Value (\$M) | Type | PBSA ? | Effective Date | Actual or Expected End Date |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------|--------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Awarded | 4745 | GS00V08PDC0017 | GS35F4797H | 4730 | | | | | | | |

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:
N/A.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

| Table II.B.1 Projects | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Project ID | Project Name | Project Description | Project Start Date | Project Completion Date | Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M) |
| NONE | | | | | |

| Activity Summary | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities | | | | | | | | |
| Project ID | Name | Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M) | End Point Schedule Variance (in days) | End Point Schedule Variance (%) | Cost Variance (\$M) | Cost Variance (%) | Total Planned Cost (\$M) | Count of Activities |
| NONE | | | | | | | | |

| Key Deliverables | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Project Name | Activity Name | Description | Planned Completion Date | Projected Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Duration (in days) | Schedule Variance (in days) | Schedule Variance (%) |
| NONE | | | | | | | | |

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

| Metric Description | Unit of Measure | FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping | Measurement Condition | Baseline | Target for PY | Actual for PY | Target for CY | Reporting Frequency |
|---|-----------------|---|-----------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|
| % of Functionality Remaining in System - Complete 100% of migration of reporting functionality of FAIM from NEAR | Percent | Technology - Efficiency | Under target | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 100.000000 | Monthly |
| % of Transactions Processed Timely and Accurately | Percent | Customer Results - Service Accessibility | Over target | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | | 100.000000 | Monthly |
| % of Functionality Remaining in System Complete 100% of migration of reporting functionality of A/R Billing from NEAR | Percent | Mission and Business Results - Management of Government Resources | Under target | 0.000000 | 75.000000 | 0.000000 | 100.000000 | Monthly |
| % of Transactions Processed Timely and Accurately | Percent | Process and Activities - Financial | Over target | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | Monthly |
| Migration of BAAR from NEAR to Pegasys | number | Technology - Effectiveness | Over target | 2.000000 | 3.000000 | | 3.000000 | Monthly |